







#### Roberto Ierusalimschy Luiz Henrique de Figueiredo Waldemar Celes







brief introduction: what is LuaLua's evolution

1.	.0	1.1	2.1 2.1	2 2.4 2.	5 3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0 L	)		5.0 I		-	5.1	
199	93	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Γ

principles we learned









- a scripting language
  - interpreted (can run dynamic code)
  - dynamically typed
  - with (incremental) garbage collection
  - strong support for strings
  - also with coroutines, first-class functions with lexical scoping, proper tail calls, etc.









- a scripting language
- its main implementation
  - (at least) two other implementations
    - Lua-ML
    - Lua2IL (.Net)

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	)		5.0		4	5.1	
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	







- a scripting language
- its main implementation
- an embeddable language
  - implemented as a library
  - offers a clear API for host applications
  - not only an implementation aspect!









- a scripting language
- its main implementation
- an embeddable language

- embedded in a fair share of applications
  - Adobe Photoshop Lightroom, LuaTeX, nmap, wireshark, Olivetti printers, ...
  - niche in games













































## **The Beginning**

	1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	)		5.0		:	5.1	
Γ	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Γ







 partnership between PUC-Rio and Petrobras (the Brazilian Oil Company)



1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.3	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0			5.0			).1	
													1	
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	







#### two projects using "little languages"

#### DEL, for data entry

PGM, to visualize geologic profiles







## Data Entry Language

DEL

#### form definition

- parameter list
- types and default values







# Simple Object Language

SOL

- data description language
  - not totally unlike XML
  - BibTeX-like syntax

type	@tra	ck {x	:numbe	er, y:nur	nber=23, z	z }
type	@lin	e {t:	@track	=@track	{x=8}, z:m	number*}
c: t1 =	reate @tra	an o ck {y	bject =9, x=	't1', o: 10, z="1	f type 'tı ni!"}	cack'
1 = (	line	{t=@	track{	x=t1.y,	y=t1.x},	z=[2,3,4]}
1.0	1.1 2.1	2.2 2.4 2.5	3.0 3.1	3.2 4.0	5.0	5.1
1					1	







- two projects using "little languages"
  DEL and PGM
- both shared several limitations
  - decision-making facilites
  - arithmetic expressions
  - abstraction mechanisms

	1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2 2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	1		5.0		:	5.1
ſ	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006







 Roberto (PGM), Luiz (DEL) and Waldemar (PGM) got together to find a common solution to their common problems...



1.0	1.1 2	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	)		5.0		-	5.1	
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	



## What we needed?



- a "generic configuration language"
- a "complete" language
- easily embeddable
- portable
  - Petrobras had a diverse array of machines
- as simple as possible
- non-intimidating syntax
  - for end users (engineers, geologists, etc.)

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0 I			5.0 I		-	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006





#### As we were giving up Sol,



#### a friend suggested a new name...



#### ...and Lua was born









#### not that different from Sol...

10	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	1		5.0		5	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006



10

1993

#### How was Lua 1.0?



2006

#### • but quite different...

```
function track (t)
     if not t.x then t.x = 0.0 end
     if type(t.x) ~= "number" then
       print("invalid 'x' value")
     end
     if type(t.y) ~= "number" then
       print("invalid 'y' value")
     end
  end
1.1 2.1
     2.2 2.4 2.5
             3.0
                  3.1
                      3.2
                            4.0
                                       5.0
                                                    5.1
1994
    1995
        1996
             1997
                                                 2005
                      1999
                          2000
                               2001
                                    2002
                                        2003
                                             2004
                  1998
```



### Lua 1.0



- implemented as a library
- called 1.0 a posteriori
- the simplest thing that could possibly work
- standard implementation
  - precompiler with yacc/lex
  - opcodes for a stack-based virtual machine
- less than 6000 lines of C code





## Tables in Lua 1.0



- associative arrays
- the only data structure
  - still is
  - records, lists, objects are just different constructors for tables
- sugar for records:
  - t.x for t["x"]
- primitive implementation
  - Iinked lists!

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	1		5.0		4	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006



#### Lua 1.0



- expectations: to solve our problems with PGM and DEL
  - could be useful in other Tecgraf products
- fulfilled our expectations
  - both DEL and PGM used Lua successfully
  - PGM still in use today in oil platforms
- it was a big success in Tecgraf







# Soon, several projects at Tecgraf were using Lua





#### Lua 1.1



- new users brought new demands
  - several small improvements
  - mainly for performance
- reference manual
- well-defined and well-documented C API





#### Lua 2.1



- growing pressure for OO features
- several important changes
  - several incompatibilities!
- cleaner C API
  - no more direct references from C to Lua objects
- constructors
  - no more '@'
  - simpler syntax

1.0	1.1 2	1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	)		5.0		5	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006



## **Object Orientation**



- tables + first-class functions ≈ objects
  - some (syntactical) sugar helped:









- similar to exception-handling with resumption
- delegation
  - allowed prototype-based OO
  - inspired by Self
- kind of minimum mechanism to get the label "OO inside"





#### **Delegation at work**



function a.foo (self)
 return self.x + self.y
end
print(b.foo(b)) --> 30









- Lua provided only a fallback for absent indices
   setfallback("index", inherit)
  - call function inherit when an index is absent from a table









Most of the work done by the program...

```
function inherit (t, f)
 if f == "parent" then -- avoid loops
    return nil
 end
  local p = t.parent
 if type(p) == "table" then
    return p[f]
 else
   return nil
 end
end
```

1.0	1.1 2	1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0			5.0		5	5.1	
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	







- external precompiler
  - faster load for large programs (metafiles)
- debug facilities
  - only basic primitives
- pattern matching









- problems with fallbacks
  - fallbacks were not built-in, but were global
  - different inheritance mechanisms from different libraries would clash
  - not a problem for small programs, without external code









- problems with fallbacks
- Lua 3.0 introduced tag methods
  - each object has a numerical tag
  - tag methods = fallbacks associated with tags
  - incompatible with previous mechanism
    - there was a "compatibility script"









- functional features
  - syntax for anonymous, nested functions
  - since Lua 1.0, function f ... was sugar for f = function ..., except that the latter was not valid syntax!

iterators

foreach(t, function (k, v)
 print(k, v)
end)

callbacks

button.action = function ... end

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	31	3.2	4.0 I			5.0		4	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006



## Lexical scoping



- functional features
- no simple and efficient way to implement lexical scoping
  - on-the-fly compilation with no intermediate representation + activation records in a stack
  - hindered earlier adoption of nested functions









- "a form of proper lexical scoping"
- the frozen value of an external local variable inside a nested function
- trick somewhat similar to Java demand for final when building nested classes
- special syntax to avoid misunderstandings









- multithreading?
  - for Web servers









- multithreading?
- multiple "Lua processes"
  - multiple independent states in an application
  - no shared memory
- would require major change in the API
  - each function should get the state as an extra argument
  - instead, a single C global variable in the code points to the running state
  - extra API functions set the running state

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	32	4.0	)		5.0		5	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006







- major change in the API
  - all functions got a new parameter (the state)
  - no more C global variables in the code
  - libraries should not use C globals, too
  - concurrent C threads can each has its own state
- we took the opportunity and made several other improvements in the API
  - stack oriented

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4	0		5.0		4	5.1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006





- multithreading?
  - multiple characters in games

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	1	5.0			4	5.1	
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	





- multithreading?
- problems with multithreading
  - (preemption + shared memory)
  - not portable
  - no one can write correct programs when a=a+1 is non deterministic
  - core mechanisms originally proposed for OS programming
  - almost impossible to debug







- multithreading?
- coroutines!
  - portable implementation
  - deterministic semantics
  - coroutines + scheduler =

non-preemptive multithreading

 could be used as a basis for multithreading for those that really wanted it







- new algorithm for upvales
  - allowed "true" lexical scoping!
- new algorithm for tables
  - store array part in an actual array
- new register-based virtual machine
- tags replaced by metatables
  - regular tables that store metamethods (old tag methods) for the object







- new algorithm for upvales
  - allowed "true" lexical scoping!
- new algorithm for tables
  - store array part in an actual array
- new register-based virtual machine
- tags replaced by metatables
  - regular tables that store *metamethods* (old *tag methods*) for the object

#### Too much for a minor version...

1.0	1.1 2	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	)		5.0		4	5.1	
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	







- coroutines
- lexical scoping
- metatables
- boolean type, weak tables, proper tail calls, ...
- module system
  - incompatibility









- tables as modules
  - math.sin (sin entry in table math)
- actually not a mechanism, but a policy
  - possible since Lua 1.0, but Lua itself did not use it
- several facilities for free

						[	loca	al m	<b>1 =</b> 1	mod	10	ocal	rena	ming	
		[	10	са	1	fo	o =	mod	.fo	0	unq	ualifi	ed in	nport	
				[	mo	od.	subr	nod.	foo	(	.)	suk	omoc	dules	
1.0	1.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0 I	I		5.0		5	5.1 
003	1994	10	205	199	6	1007	100.9	1000	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2004







- incremental garbage collector
  - demand from games
- better support for modules
  - more policies
  - functions to help following "good practice"
- support for dynamic libraries
  - not portable!
  - the mother of all (non-portable) libraries
  - this support cannot be dynamically loaded!

1.0	1.1	2.1 2.2	2.4 2.5	3.0	3.1	3.2	4.0	1		5.0 I		5	1
1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006





#### **Principles we learned**







## **Principles we learned**

- it is much easier to add a missing feature than to remove an excessive one
  - nevertheless, we have removed several features
- it is very hard to anticipate all implications of a new feature
  - clash with future features









- "Mechanisms instead of policies"
  - effective way to avoid tough decisions
  - type definitions in Lua 1.0
  - delegation in Lua 2.1
  - coroutines
  - did not work with modules...







#### **Principles we learned**

- emphasis on embedding
- portability

~ ?

- development for a single and very well documented platform: ANSI C
- keep it simple









#### • a proxy for complexity...



![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

Roberto Ierusalimschy Luiz Henrique de Figueiredo Waldemar Celes